

CONTENTS.

I. MICAH.

INTRODUCTION.

His name: a villager: his date: earlier than Isalah, yet prophesied under Ahaz and in beginning of Hezekiah's reign: divisions of his book: simplicity but vividness and energy of his style. His extreme tenderness. His use of the Pentateuch, and use of his book by later prophets, . . . pp. 5-14

COMMENTARY.—CHAPTERS I.—VII. pp. 15-104

II. NAHUM.

INTRODUCTION.

His date: date of the conquest of No, mentioned by him. Strength of Nineveh: its history: its might enlarged, until within 22 years of its fall. Suddenness of its fall. Its rivers were its strength and weakness. Commerce continued its old course on the opposite side of the river, but itself perished. Pseudo-criticisms as to his style, . . . pp. 105-128

COMMENTARY.—CHAPTERS I.—III. pp. 129-164

III. HABAKKUK.

INTRODUCTION.

Prophet of faith: earlier than Zephaniah: pseudo-criticism as to his language. Suddenness of the rise and fall of the strength of Babylon: mistake of Assyria in placing Chaldees there. Magnificence of Habakkuk's style, . . . pp. 165-177

COMMENTARY.—CHAPTERS I.—III. pp. 179-223

IV. ZEPHANIAH.

INTRODUCTION.

Correspondence with Habakkuk. His date, use of former prophets. Distinct prophecies. Myth of critics as to Scythians being formidable to the Jews. Vividness and tenderness, . . . pp. 225-234

COMMENTARY.—CHAPTERS I.—III. pp. 235-291

Moabite stone, translation of its inscription, . . . pp. 291-292

V. HAGGAI.

INTRODUCTION.

Lukewarmness of his times ; greatness of the repentance wrought through him.

Energy of his style, pp. 293-297

COMMENTARY.—CHAPTERS I.—II. pp. 299-321

VI. ZECHARIAH.

INTRODUCTION.

Called early to his office. Imaginative richness in both parts of his book: correspondence between them: references in both to prophets before the captivity: correspondence in language and style and rhythm; Captivity spoken of as past in later chapters also: identity of authorship: author of these chapters, had he lived before the captivity, would have been one of the false prophets condemned by Jeremiah. German criticism, on ground of philology and history, assigns dates varying by nearly 500 years; alleged grounds of præ-exile date, or of the relation of c. xi. to times of Menahem. Arguments of philology far weightier, allowed to be invalid as to Plato. Table of discrepant dates assigned to Zechariah by modern German critics, pp. 323-338

COMMENTARY.—CHAPTERS I.—XIV. pp. 339-459

VII. MALACHI.

INTRODUCTION.

His date: characteristics of his call to repentance; co-operated effectively in Nehemiah's reformation. Poetry would have been misplaced in his prophecy . pp. 461-464

COMMENTARY.—CHAPTERS I.—IV. pp. 465-504