

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| Preface | VII |
| Abbreviations | XV |
| <i>Introduction</i> | 1 |
| <i>Chapter One: The Relationship between Historical and Theological Interpretation in New Testament Studies</i> | 5 |
| 1. The separation of the enterprises | 6 |
| a. J.P. Gabler..... | 6 |
| b. D.F. Strauss | 8 |
| c. William Wrede..... | 10 |
| d. Criticisms of the ‘purely’ historical approach | 11 |
| 2. A history of early Christian literature | 13 |
| a. Ernst Troeltsch | 13 |
| b. R.G. Collingwood..... | 16 |
| c. Van A. Harvey..... | 18 |
| 3. Religious experience instead of doctrine | 20 |
| a. History-of-religion | 20 |
| b. F.C. Baur’s study of <i>Lehrbegriffe</i> | 23 |
| c. Wrede’s criticism of Holtzmann | 26 |
| d. The relationship with systematic theology..... | 28 |
| e. The problem of a thematic structure | 29 |
| f. Experience | 30 |
| 4. Against normativeness as a presupposition | 32 |
| 5. How much theology is there in the New Testament?..... | 35 |
| 6. Overbeck’s thesis against New Testament theology | 37 |
| a. Scientific knowledge | 38 |
| b. Apologetic theology | 40 |
| c. Liberal theology..... | 41 |
| d. Critical theology | 43 |
| e. Christianity - dead? | 43 |
| 7. Conclusion | 45 |
| <i>Chapter Two: Early Christianity and Its Writings</i> | 48 |
| 1. The historian and his sources | 48 |
| 2. “Heresy” earlier than “orthodoxy”? (Walter Bauer’s thesis)..... | 49 |

| | |
|--|----|
| a. Edessa, Mesopotamia..... | 50 |
| b. Egypt | 51 |
| c. Asia Minor | 52 |
| d. Rome..... | 53 |
| e. Why so few heretical writings? | 54 |
| 3. Were the Synoptic Gospels not known to the Apostolic Fathers? (Helmut Koester's thesis)..... | 57 |
| a. Introductory formulae | 58 |
| b. The "Lord" as authority | 60 |
| c. When did εὐαγγέλιον first refer to a written Gospel? | 60 |
| d. Written Gospel(s) other than our Synoptics | 61 |
| e. Other examples for the "dissimilarity test" | 63 |
| f. "Changes" | 64 |
| 4. "Trajectories" | 65 |
| a. Controversies in early Christianity..... | 66 |
| aa) General affirmations | 66 |
| bb) A criterion..... | 68 |
| cc) Palestine | 69 |
| dd) Edessa | 70 |
| ee) Countries around the Aegean Sea..... | 71 |
| b. The diversity of Gospel forms | 72 |
| c. The diversity of credal formulations | 74 |
| d. Koester's conclusions of the 1971 volume of essays..... | 75 |
| 5. The Bauer Thesis re-examined..... | 79 |
| a. Method..... | 79 |
| b. Places not suitable for the Bauer Thesis | 80 |
| c. The best test case: Ephesus | 82 |
| 6. Conclusion | 83 |

Chapter Three: Can the Canon Be Justified?..... 86

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. Introductory remarks | 86 |
| a. On methodology..... | 86 |
| b. On the discussion of Theodor Zahn's contribution | 87 |
| 2. Is the canon a late decision of the church? | 88 |
| 3. Some aspects of the history of the New Testament canon..... | 90 |
| a. The difficulty of reconstruction | 90 |
| b. From later to earlier sources..... | 91 |
| c. The role of Marcion in the history of the canon | 93 |
| 4. On the reasons behind the canonical process..... | 95 |
| 5. The role of the Old Testament in the formation of the New Testament canon..... | 98 |
| a. The Old Testament quoted in the New Testament | 99 |
| b. Problems concerning the canonisation process of the Old Testament | 101 |
| aa) The problem of "Jabne" | 101 |
| bb) "Zusammen-Denken" before "canonisation" | 102 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| cc) Authority and canon..... | 103 |
| dd) Early canonisation of the Old Testament?..... | 104 |
| 6. Excursus: The status of the Temple Scroll | 106 |
| 7. From authoritative writings to canon | 109 |
| a. The "hidden" writings | 110 |
| aa) "Secrets" in Qumran..... | 110 |
| bb) Fourth Ezra | 112 |
| b. The sacred writings of the "heretics" | 115 |
| c. Writing with authority..... | 116 |
| aa) The significance of "writing"..... | 117 |
| bb) The records of the words and deeds of Jesus..... | 119 |
| cc) Apostolic authority..... | 121 |
| dd) Apostolic letters | 124 |
| ee) Objections..... | 126 |
| 8. Some examples of the "canonical process" | 129 |
| a. Early authoritative writings..... | 130 |
| aa) Collections of writings | 130 |
| bb) The adoption of the codex | 132 |
| b. Disputed writings..... | 132 |
| aa) Hebrews | 133 |
| bb) James, 2Peter | 134 |
| cc) Writings rejected | 134 |
| 9. On the genre of the New Testament writings..... | 136 |
| a. Overbeck on the origins of Patristic literature | 136 |
| b. The Gospel genre | 140 |
| c. The other New Testament genres | 142 |
| aa) Letters | 142 |
| bb) "Acts" | 143 |
| cc) Apocalypses | 143 |
| 10. Conclusion..... | 144 |

*Chapter Four: What Does Theological Diversity Mean
for New Testament Theology?.....* 147

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Introduction | 147 |
| a. "New Testament" | 147 |
| b. "Theology" defined | 147 |
| c. "Theology" as expressing unity of views | 148 |
| 2. Development in early Christianity | 149 |
| a. F.C. Baur's thesis | 150 |
| aa) Building on the consensus of his day | 150 |
| bb) Method and structure | 150 |
| cc) From opposition to harmonisation of "Lehrbegiffe" | 151 |
| dd) Criticism of Baur's "picture" of early Christianity | 152 |
| b. The problem of the dating of the New Testament writings | 153 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| aa) Circular arguments | 153 |
| bb) Baur's dating of New Testament writings..... | 154 |
| c. "The Proclaimer became the Proclaimed" | 155 |
| aa) H.J. Holtzmann..... | 155 |
| bb) Alfred Loisy | 156 |
| cc) Rudolf Bultmann..... | 157 |
| d. An exegetical excursus: Acts 2,36 | 159 |
| aa) The origin of the term "Lord" | 160 |
| bb) The term "Messiah"..... | 161 |
| cc) The term "made" | 161 |
| dd) Towards a solution | 162 |
| e. Summary | 165 |
| 3. Jesus and Paul | 166 |
| a. Loisy on the relationship between Jesus and Paul..... | 166 |
| b. The thesis of Johannes Weiss | 167 |
| c. Eberhard Jüngel's contribution | 169 |
| 4. Should the teaching of Jesus be part of New Testament theology? | 171 |
| a. Bultmann's statement..... | 171 |
| b. An inconsistency in F.C. Baur's work | 172 |
| c. Hans Conzelmann | 172 |
| d. Some earlier works: Wrede, Holtzmann | 174 |
| e. Some recent contributions: Jeremias, Käsemann, Goppelt, Morgan | 174 |
| aa) Joachim Jeremias | 174 |
| bb) Ernst Käsemann | 174 |
| cc) Leonhard Goppelt | 175 |
| dd) Robert Morgan | 176 |
| 5. The thesis of contradictory theologies in the New Testament writings..... | 177 |
| a. Käsemann's points | 178 |
| aa) The explications of Jesus' "sonship"..... | 178 |
| bb) Gospels criticising traditions | 179 |
| cc) Variations in the kerygma..... | 180 |
| dd) Paul and James | 181 |
| ee) Acts and Galatians | 181 |
| ff) Eschatology | 181 |
| gg) Early Catholicism | 182 |
| b. Herbert Braun's arguments | 183 |
| aa) The teaching about the law | 183 |
| bb) Eschatology | 184 |
| cc) The teaching on church and offices | 184 |
| dd) Christology | 185 |
| ee) The sacraments | 185 |
| ff) Braun's summarising point | 186 |
| c. On the relationship between "law and gospel" | |
| (with an excursus on Eph 2,15) | 187 |
| aa) Excursus: Eph 2,15 | 187 |
| bb) Rom 10,4 | 192 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| d. Lack of contradiction | 194 |
| e. On the contradiction between Paul and James concerning the theme of "faith and works" | 195 |
| 6. Is there a centre to the New Testament? | 196 |
| a. Hasel's survey | 197 |
| b. Reumann's summary | 198 |
| c. The contributions of Cullmann, Dunn and Thüsing | 198 |
| d. Conclusions from the survey | 199 |
| 7. Did the early Christians share a "creed"? | 200 |
| a. Problems | 200 |
| b. Methodology | 200 |
| c. The thesis concerning a development of credal elements | 201 |
| d. An argument from the "external evidence" | 206 |
| 8. Conclusion | 207 |
| <i>Chapter Five: The Main Characteristics of the Enterprise</i> | 210 |
| 1. Defining the enterprise | 210 |
| 2. A historical, descriptive enterprise | 211 |
| 3. Is faith a requirement for carrying out the enterprise? | 215 |
| 4. For and in the church only? | 217 |
| 5. The need to clarify one's presuppositions | 219 |
| 6. The focus of the study | 220 |
| 7. How much material should be discussed? | 222 |
| 8. The "canonical approach" of B.S. Childs | 224 |
| a. Final form | 225 |
| b. Religious community | 226 |
| c. Descriptive task? | 226 |
| d. "Canon within the canon" | 227 |
| e. Text | 227 |
| f. The Bible as witness | 229 |
| g. The Bible's subject matter | 229 |
| h. Two testaments in one canon | 230 |
| 9. Robert Morgan on biblical interpretation | 231 |
| a. On historical work | 231 |
| b. Theological interpretation | 233 |
| c. Theory of religion | 234 |
| d. Literary framework | 235 |
| e. Social sciences | 236 |
| 10. Hans Hübner's "prolegomena". | 238 |
| a. Whose theology? | 238 |
| b. Theology and/or theological reflection in the Bible | 239 |
| c. Biblical theology | 240 |
| d. The reception of the Old Testament in the New Testament | 241 |
| e. Proclaimed word and written word | 243 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| f. Revelation..... | 244 |
| 11. Peter Stuhlmacher's major proposals..... | 246 |
| a. Method gained from the New Testament..... | 246 |
| b. Claim of revelation | 247 |
| c. Biblical theology of the New Testament..... | 248 |
| d. Relation to systematic theology | 248 |
| 12. Conclusion..... | 249 |
| <i>Conclusion</i> | 251 |
| 1. "Theology" | 251 |
| 2. "New Testament" | 253 |
| Bibliography..... | 255 |
| Index of Authors..... | 270 |
| Index of References | 272 |
| Index of Subjects | 277 |